


AMCTO 2018 POST-ELECTION SURVEY: PRELIMINARY RESULTS



AMCTO 2018 POST-ELECTION SURVEY

Background:

263 respondents from a range of municipalities, an increase from 119 respondents in 2014.

Administered November 2018 – January 2019.

Data set (without respondent IDs) will be posted in the members-only section of the AMCTO website.


Contact Us:

Adam McDonald
Policy Advisor
amcdonald@amcto.com

Rick Johal
Director, Member and Sector Relations
rjohal@amcto.com


RESPONDENT MUNICIPALITIES, by population size

■ Fewer than 10,000 ■ 10,000 – 50,000 ■ 50,000 – 100,000 ■ 100,000 – 250,000 ■ More than 250,000




Population	Survey Respondents	AMO Data
Less than 10K	156/263 (59%)	267/444 (60%)
10,000 – 50,000	71/263 (27%)	25/444 (25%)
50,000 – 100,000	13/263 (5%)	31/444 (7%)
More than 100K	24/263 (9%)	36/444 (8%)

VOTER TURNOUT PERCENTAGE, BY POPULATION:




2018 VOTER TURNOUT, BY POPULATION: COMPARISON WITH FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL, MUNICIPAL AVERAGES




VOTING METHODS

Which voting methods did you use during the 2018 election?



TABULATORS


Would you recommend using vote tabulators in the 2022 election?



■ Yes ■ No ■ Maybe


INTERNET VOTING: USE

Did you use Internet Voting in 2018?
(N=240)




INTERNET VOTING: USE

Was this the first election your municipality used Internet voting?
(N=119)




Why did you decide to use Internet voting?
(N=116)



INTERNET VOTING: USE


Would you recommend using internet voting again? (N=117)



■ Yes ■ Maybe


INTERNET VOTING: SECURITY

Did you require registration prior to voting?




INTERNET VOTING: SECURITY

What type of security authentication did your municipality use?




INTERNET VOTING: SECURITY



VOTERS' LIST: QUALITY


Overall, how would you rate the quality of the voters list in 2018?



■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Acceptable ■ Poor ■ Very Poor


VOTERS' LIST: QUALITY

Compared to the previous election, how would you rate the quality of MPAC's data?
(percentage of respondents)




VOTERS' LIST: QUALITY

Number of changes to the voters' list by population




VOTERS' LIST: MPAC SERVICE

Overall, how satisfied were you with MPAC's service during the 2018 election compared to 2014?
(percentage of respondents)




ACCESSIBILITY




COMPLIANCE AUDIT COMMITTEES

Did your municipality have difficulty finding qualified members for its Compliance Audit Committee (CAC)?



■ Yes ■ No


Did you work with another municipality to recruit a CAC, or share a committee with another municipality?



■ No ■ Yes, shared a committee ■ Yes, worked together


FINANCIAL REVIEWS

How is your municipality planning to fulfill the requirement to review candidate financial statements?



THIRD-PARTY ADVERTISING

Did you have registered third-party advertisers?



THIRD-PARTY ADVERTISING

How well did the new third-party advertising rules work?

